Metric #	Metric Name	Metric Description	Selection Criteria Description	Metric Type	Permit Universe	Count
1. Data Co	ompleteness. Degree to which t	the Minimum Data Requirements are	complete.			
1a1	Number of Active NPDES Majors with Individual Permits	Number of NPDES Majors with Individual Permits	Select and count the total number of NPDES active major facilities with individual permits. In ICIS, an active permit is one whose current permit version has status other than pending, terminated, not needed, or retired. In PCS, an active permit has activity code (IACC) equal to 'A'.	Data Verification	Individual	Facilities
1a2	Number of Active NPDES Majors with General Permits	Number of NPDES Majors with General Permits	Select and count the total number of NPDES active major facilities with general permits.	Data Verification	General	Facilities
	Number of Active NPDES Non Majors with Individual Permits		Select and count the total number of NPDES active non-major facilities with individual permits.	Data Verification	Individual	Facilities
1a4	Number of Active NPDES Non Majors with General Permits	Number of NPDES Non-Majors with General Permits	Select and count the total number of NPDES active non-major facilities with general permits.	Data Verification	General	Facilities
1b1	Permit Limits Rate for Major Facilities	Of major facilities with individual permits, the percent with of permit limits present in the national database. The national goal is 95% completion of required information.	Percent of facilities with active major individual permits that have at least one lmiit entered in PCS o ICIS-NPDES where the period from limit begin to end date (effective dates in PCS) overlaps the fiscal year.	r Goal	Individual	Facilities
1b2	DMR Entry Rate for Major Facilities.	DMR entry rate for those major facilities with individual permits present in the national database. The national goal is 95% completior of required information. This DMR entry rate will be expressed as the percentage of expected DMRs that were received during the fiscal year	Number of received DMRs as a percentage of the total number of expected DMRs, from facilities with active major individual permits.	Goal	Individual	DMRs
1b3	Number of Major Facilities with a Manual Override of RNC/SNC to a Compliant Status	Number of Major facilities with a manual override in PCS/ICIS- NPDES of reportable noncompliance/significant noncompliance (RNC/SNC) of NPDES major facilities from non- compliance to complaint status	Number of active major facilities with one or more RNC status codes manually overridden from non- compliant to compliant status during the year.	Data Verification	All	Facilities
101	Permit Limits Rate for Non- Major Facilities	Of non-major facilities with individua permits, the percent with permit limits present in the national database (informational only).	Percent of facilities with active non-major individual permits that have at least one lmiit entered in PCS o ICIS-NPDES where the period from limit begin to end date (effective dates in PCS) overlaps the fiscal year.	r Informational only	Individual	Facilities

Metric #	Metric Name	Metric Description	Selection Criteria Description	Metric Type	Permit Universe	Count
107	DMR Entry Rate for Non- Major Facilities.	DMR entry rate for those non-major facilities with individual permits in the national database. This DMR entry rate will be expressed as the percentage of expected DMRs that were received during the fiscal year.	with active non-major individual permits.	Informational only	Individual	DMRs
	Facilities with Informal Actions	Number of facilities with informal enforcement actions in FFY	Number of facilities with informal enforcement actions issed during the FFY. The issuance date is the achieved date in ICIS, or ENDT in PCS. Informal actions are identified in ICIS by activity type code AIF, or in PCS by enforcement action codes other than A1, A5, C1, C2, 21, 22, 25, 71, 72, 75, 89, or 97.	Data Verification	All	Facilities
1e2	Actions at CWA NPDES	Total number of informal enforcement actions issued in FFY	Total number of informal enforcement actions issued during the FFY.	Data Verification	All	Actions
	Facilities with Formal Actions	Number of facilities with formal enforcement actions in FFY	Number of facilities with formal enforcement actions issed during the FFY. Formal actions are identified in ICIS by activity type code AFR or JDC, or in PCS by enforcement action codes A1, A5, C1, C2, 21, 22 25, 71, 72, 75, 89, and 97.		All	Facilities
1f2	Actions at CW/A NPDES	Total number of formal enforcement	Total number of formal enforcement actions issued	Data Verification	All	Actions
101	Number of Enforcement Actions with Penalties	amounts into PCS or ICIS-NPDES is required. Entry of both judicial and administrative penalties is	Number of formal enforcement actions with final orders entered during FFY with non-zero penalty amounts. Each distinct action (determined by activity_id in ICIS, or ERFN in PCS) is counted only once, even if it addresses multiple facilities.	Data Verification	All	Actions
1g2 ⁻	Total Penalties Assessed	Entry of both judicial and administrative penalties is required where EBA directly implements the	Total state penalties for the state metric, or Federal penalties for the EPA metric, from formal actions with final orders entered during FFY. Each distinct penalty (determined by enf_conclusion_id in ICIS, or ERFN in PCS) is counted only once, even if it addresses multiple facilities.	Data Verification	All	Total penalties
1h1	Inspection Count Metrics				Individual + General	
2. Data Aco	curacy. Degree to which the Mi	inimum Data Requirements are accu	irate (example correct codes used, dates are correct.	, etc.).		

Metric #	Metric Name	Metric Description	Selection Criteria Description	Metric Type	Permit Universe	Count
2a1	Number of formal enforcement actions, taken against major facilities, with enforcement violation type codes entered.	facilities, with enforcement violation type (EVTP in PCS or activity_type_code in ICIS-NPDES) codes entered.	In ICIS-NPDES, count formal actions with non- empty activity_type_code (ADC or JFR) and settlement entered date, settlement lodged date, or complaint filed date in FFY. In PCS, count formal actions with non-empty EVTP and ENDT in FFY.	Data Verification	All	Actions
2b1	accurately reflected in the national data system.	% of files reviewed where data is accurately reflected in the national data system.		File Review		
3. Timelir	ess of Data Entry. Degree to w	hich the Minimum Data Requirement	ts are timely.			
3a1	Files reviewed where required data is being entered into the national source system in a timely manner.			File Review		
4. Comple		o which all enforcement/compliance	commitments in relevant agreements (i.e., PPAs, PP	Gs, categorical grant	ts, CMS plans, author	ization agreements,
4a1	% of planned inspections completed	% of planned inspections completed		File Review		
4b1	Planned Commitments Completed	Incorporate by reference any independent regional assessment o state performance against commitments for the review year. Where an impendent assessment has not previously been performed by the Region, delineate the commitments for the FFY under review and describe what was accomplished. This should include commitments in PPAs, PPGs, grant agreements, MOAs, or other relevant agreements. The commitments should be broken out and identified. The types of commitments to include would be for inspections, pretreatment reviews, DMR entry, compliance data entry, follow-up on SRF recommendations, etc.		File Review		

Metric #	Metric Name	Metric Description	Selection Criteria Description	Metric Type	Permit Universe	Count
categories commitme CMS. To will rely on	of facilities covered under the ents made in workplans, EnPPA the extent that the state has no a data in PCS/ICIS-NPDES, wh	CMS have been discussed but not fi \'s, or in case of early development o ty et developed a CMS, the goals in	ates will be operating under state CMSs, beginning 1 nalized in part due to programming limitations. For r of a CMS, the commitments made in their CMS. For the October 2007 CMS will be used to evaluate the s a elements, or where the state agrees that the data in tate to perform these evaluations.	eview years prior to F review years 2009 an state. Until the data n	FY 2009, states will b d after, the state will l netrics have been full	be evaluated against be evaluated against y developed, the Reg
521	Inspection Coverage - NPDES Majors	% of NPDES majors with individual or general permits that were inspected during the FFY, excluding stormwater, pretreatment, CAFO, CSO, and SSO inspections.	For the state metrics, count only facilities with state primacy, in the numerator and denominator. For the EPA metrics, count facilities with any primacy (EPA or state). In ICIS-NPDES, count comprehensive audit, biomonitoring, diagnostic, evaluation, sampling, and toxics inspections, having either all non-wet-weather inspection codes (no inspection codes CWACSO, CWASTM, CWACAFO, CWASTMC, CWASTMM, CWASTMN, CWASSO, CWAPRTRT) OR all non-wet-weather inspection priorities (no priority codes starting with WW). In PCS, count inspection types A, B, D, C, S, X. (Per CMS 1.A.1)		Individual + General	Facilities
	Inspection Coverage - NPDES Non-Majors	inspected during the FFY, excluding stormwater, pretreatment, CAFO, CSO, and SSO inspections. Under the CMS, the goal is 100% inspection coverage within a five- voor period.	For the state metrics, count only facilities with state primacy, in the numerator and denominator. For the EPA metrics, count facilities with any primacy (EPA or or state). In ICIS-NPDES, count comprehensive and alternative audit, biomonitoring, diagnostic, evaluation, sampling, toxics, followup, oversight, reconnaissance, and O&M inspections, having either all non-wet-weather inspection codes (no inspection codes CWACSO, CWASTM, CWACAFO, CWASTMC, CWASTMM, CWASTMN, CWASSO, CWAPRTRT) OR all non-wet-weather inspection	Goal metric	Individual	Facilities
5b2	Inspection Coverage - NPDES Non-Majors with General Permits	% of NPDES non-majors with general permits that were inspected during the FFY, excluding stormwater, pretreatment, CAFO, CSO, and SSO inspections. Under the CMS, the goal is 100%		Goal metric	General	Facilities

Metric #	Metric Name	Metric Description	Selection Criteria Description	Metric Type	Permit Universe	Count
6a1	Inspection reports reviewed that provide sufficient documentation to determine compliance at the facility.	% of inspection reports reviewed that provide sufficient documentation to lead to an accurate compliance determination.		File Review		
6b1	Inspection reports completed within the prescribed time frame.	% of inspection reports reviewed that are timely.		File Review		
		gree to which compliance determinat toring information (e.g., facility-report	ions are accurately made and promptly reported in the ed information).	e national database	based upon complian	ce monitoring report
	Number of Major Facilities with Single Event Violations		Count facilities with SEVs that were entered in the FFY, or continuing SEVs that were unresolved at the beginning of the FFY.	Data Verification	All	Facilities
	Number of Non-Major Facilities with Single Event Violations	assesses whether violations	Count facilities with SEVs that were entered in the FFY, or continuing SEVs that were unresolved at the beginning of the FFY.	Informational only	All	Facilities
7b1	Compliance schedule violations	be met in the FFY, the number of facilities with unresolved compliance	Count facilities having compliance schedule milestones due during the FFY, or due prior to the FFY and not received by the start of the FFY; and RNC not resolved before the end of the FFY.	Data Verification	All	Facilities
7c1	Permit schedule violations	be met in the FFY, the number of facilities with unresolved permit	Count facilities having permit schedule milestones due during the FFY, or due prior to the FFY and not received by the start of the FFY; and RNC not resolved before the end of the FFY.	Data Verification	All	Facilities

Metric #	Metric Name	Metric Description	Selection Criteria Description	Metric Type	Permit Universe	Count
	Major Facilities in Noncompliance	Noncompliance rate in database at major facilities (with individual permits) in fiscal year. This includes facilities with either RNC or effluent, single event, compliance schedule, or permit schedule violations during the year.	Percent of active major facilities with individual permits, having either RNC (QNCR codes D,E,N,S,T,V,X), effluent violations (based on the monitoring period end date), new or unresolved SEVs during the FFY, or compliance or permit schedule milestones either due during the FFY or due prior to the start of the FFY and not received by start of the FFY, with RNC not resolved before the end of the FFY.	Informational Only	All	Facilities
	that led to an accurate	% of inspection reports reviewed that led to accurate compliance determinations.		File Review		
		non-major facilities in category 1	Percent of active non-major facilities with category 1 noncompliance, defined as having a QNCR code of D,E,S,T, or X during the FFY.	Data Verification	Individual or Unpermitted	Facilities
7g1	Non-Major Facilities in Category 2 Noncompliance	This metric reports the number of non-major facilities in category 2 noncompliance (i.e., less serious violations).	Percent of active non-major facilities with category 2 noncompliance at any time during the year. Catego 2 noncompliance is defined as having a QNCR code of N or V during the year, or an effluent, single even permit schedule, or compliance schedule violation that occurred or was unresolved at any time during year; and NOT being in category 1 noncompliance.		Individual or Unpermitted	Facilities
	Non-Major Facilities in Noncompliance	Noncompliance rate in database at non-major facilities (with individual permits) in fiscal year (informational only). This includes facilities in either category 1 or category 2 noncompliance.	Percent of active non-major facilities with category 1 or 2 noncompliance at any time during the year. Category 1 noncompliance, analogous to SNC for non-majors, is defined as having a QNCR code of D,E,S,T, or X during the year. Category 2 noncompliance is defined as having a QNCR code of N or V during the year, or an effluent, single event, permit schedule, or compliance schedule violation that occurred or was unresolved at any time during year.	Informational only	Individual	Facilities
3. Identific	ation of SNC and HPV. Degree	e to which the state accurately identif	ies significant noncompliance/high priority violations	and enters informatio	n into the national sys	stem in a timely manr
Ba1	Major Facilities in SNC	SNC during the reporting year.	Count active major facilities with an RNC code of D,E,S,T,X during the FFY.	Review indicator metric	All	Facilities
			Percent of active major facilities having an RNC code of D,E,S,T,X during the year.	Review indicator metric	All	Facilities
	violation(s) that are accurately	Percentage of single event violation(s) that are accurately identified as SNC or Non-SNC		File Review		

Metric #	Metric Name	Metric Description	Selection Criteria Description	Metric Type	Permit Universe	Count
	% of single event violation(s)	% of single event violation(s)				
1	identified as SNC that are	identified as SNC that are reported		File Review		
	reported timely.	timely.				
			e enforcement actions include required corrective ac	tion (i.e., injunctive re	lief or other complying	g actions) that will re
cilities to	compliance in a specific time	frame.			-	-
	% of enforcement responses	% of enforcement responses that				
a1	that have returned or will	have returned or will return a source		File Review		
	return a source in SNC to	in SNC to compliance.				
0 T ' 1	compliance.	•				
0. Limely	and Appropriate Action. Degre		appropriate enforcement actions in accordance with	policy relating to spec	afic media.	
		% of major facilities with formal				
	Major facilities with Timely	enforcement actions within 1 year				
10a1	Major facilities with Timely Action as Appropriate	after consecutive quarters of SNC effluent violations, QNCR DMR non	See "Metric 10a1 Detail", below.	Goal metric	All Facilities All Image: All state of the state of	
	Action as Appropriate	receipt, or QNCR compliance				
		schedule violations.				
	Enforcement responses					
	reviewed that address SNC	% of enforcement responses				
0b1	that are appropriate to the	reviewed that address SNC that are		File Review	All	
	violations.	appropriate to the violations.				
1. Penalt		to which state documents in its files t	hat initial penalty calculation includes both gravity ar	nd economic benefit c	alculations, appropria	tely using the BEN r
	ethod that produces results co					, ,
	% of penalty calculations that	% of penalty calculations that				
	consider and include where	consider and include where				
11a1	appropriate gravity and	appropriate gravity and economic		File Review		
	economic benefit.	benefit.				
2. Final F	Penalty Assessment and Collect	tion. Degree to which differences be	tween initial and final penalty are documented in the	file along with a dem	onstration in the file th	hat the final penalty v
ollected.						
	Document the rationale for the	% of penalties reviewed that				
l2a1	initial and final assessed	document the difference and		File Review		
1201	penalty that was collected.	rationale between the initial and fina	1			
	penalty that was collected.	assessed penalty.				
		Percent of enforcement actions with				
l 2b1	Penalties collected	penalties that document collection o		File Review		
		the penalty				
Metric 10	a1 Detail					
For each g	given year, the denominator of	metric 10a1 counts all facilities that n	net one or more of the folowing EMS criteria during t	hat year:		
	(^ / ^ / ^)	SNC offluent violetion in 2 concernit	ive quarters come pipe, come peremeters and ONO	D for SNC offluort via	lation in 2 consecutiv	o quartara:
			ive quarters, same pipe, same parameter; and QNC			e quarters;

Metric #	Metric Name	Metric Description	Selection Criteria Description	Metric Type	Permit Universe	Count
	OR (A2a)	QNCR for DMR non-receipt in 2 con	secutive quarters;			
	OR (A2b)	QNCR compliance schedule violatio	ns in 2 consecutive quarters, and an open complia	ance schedule violatior	at any time during FY.	
The numerate	or counts all facilities that are	e in the denominator, and that have t	been addressed by formal enforcement at any time	e during that year or th	e following year.	
			Natch List. The difference is that the Watch List e ator, and counts separately in the numerator whic			cement from crite